

If G is a finite group and $H \subset G$ a subgroup, then we have a restriction functor $\text{Res}_H^G : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(H)$. In the opposite direction, how do rep's of H give rep's of G ?

Answer: induced representations = rep. of G built from $|G/H|$ many copies of a rep. of H , assembled into a G -rep. according to the manner in which left mult by $g \in G$ acts on cosets of H .

Def: A representation V of G , with a subspace $W \subset V$ which is invariant under the subgroup $H \subset G$ (ie. a subrep. of $\text{Res}_H^G V$), is said to be induced by $W \in \text{Rep}(H)$ if, as a vector space, $V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma W$. Write $V = \text{Ind}_H^G W$.

ie. fixing one element in each coset, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in G$, we can write each $v \in V$ uniquely as $v = \sigma_1 w_1 + \dots + \sigma_k w_k$ for $w_1, \dots, w_k \in W$.

Thm: Given a representation W of H , the induced representation $V = \text{Ind}_H^G W$ exists and is unique up to isomorphism of G -rep's.

Pf: • Uniqueness: given $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ and $W \subset V$ invariant under H & s.t. $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \sigma_i W$, necessarily $g \in G$ acts by mapping $\sigma_i W$ to $\sigma_j W$, where

j is such that $g\sigma_i \in \sigma_j H$, ie. $h = \sigma_j^{-1} g \sigma_i \in H$, and necessarily $g(\sigma_i w) = \sigma_j h w \in \sigma_j W$. This determines the G -action uniquely.

• Existence: build $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \sigma_i W$ where the σ_i are now formal symbols (ie. the direct sum of $k = |G/H|$ copies of W), and make $g \in G$ act as above. \square .

(Note: by construction, $\dim V = |G/H| \cdot \dim W$).

Examples: 1) The permutation rep. associated to the left action of G on G/H is induced by the trivial representation of H . Indeed V has a basis $\{e_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G/H}$; the basis element e_H (for the coset H) is fixed by H , so $W = \text{span}(e_H)$ is invariant under H , and $gW = \text{span}(e_{gH})$, with

$$V = \bigoplus_{gH \in G/H} \text{span}(e_{gH}) = \bigoplus_{gH \in G/H} gW.$$

2) The regular rep. of G is induced by the regular rep. of H : here $W = \text{span}\{e_h, h \in H\} \subset V = \text{span}\{e_g, g \in G\}$.

• Fact: $\text{Ind}_H^G(W \oplus W') = \text{Ind}_H^G(W) \oplus \text{Ind}_H^G(W')$, but $\text{Ind}(W \otimes W') \neq \text{Ind}(W) \otimes \text{Ind}(W')$.

On the other hand, if U is a rep. of G and W a rep. of H , then

$$\| \text{Ind}(\text{Res}(U) \otimes W) = U \otimes \text{Ind}(W).$$

(indeed: $\text{Ind}(W) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma W$, so $U \otimes \text{Ind}(W) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} (U \otimes \sigma W) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma(U \otimes W)$,

where $U \otimes W \subset U \otimes \text{Ind}(W)$ is invariant under H and $= \text{Res}(U) \otimes W$ as H -repⁿ).

in particular: $\| \text{Ind}(\text{Res}(U)) = U \otimes \text{Ind}(\text{trivial}) = U \otimes (\text{permut. rep. } G/H).$

• We can actually calculate the character of an induced representation!

Choose representatives $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ of cosets of H as usual; $g \in G$ maps $\sigma_i W$ to $\sigma_j W$ s.t.

$g\sigma_i \in \sigma_j H$. If $i \neq j$ then this doesn't contribute to $\text{tr}(g)$. If $i=j$ then $h = \sigma_i^{-1} g \sigma_i \in H$

and g maps $\sigma_i W$ to itself by $g(\sigma_i w) = \sigma_i h w$, so $\text{tr}(g|_{\sigma_i W}) = \text{tr}(h|_W) = \chi_W(h)$.

Summing over σ_i 's: $\| \chi_{\text{Ind } W}(g) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_i \in G/H \\ \text{s.t. } \sigma_i^{-1} g \sigma_i \in H}} \chi_W(\sigma_i^{-1} g \sigma_i) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\substack{s \in G \\ \text{s.t. } s^{-1} g s \in H}} \chi_W(s^{-1} g s).$

• A key property for understanding induced representations is Frobenius reciprocity

Prop: $\|$ If U is a representation of G , and W a rep. of H , then every H -equivariant map $W \rightarrow \text{Res}(U)$ extends uniquely to a G -equivariant map $\text{Ind}(W) \rightarrow U$.
 $\| \text{Hom}_H(W, \text{Res}(U)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\text{Ind}(W), U).$

Proof: Choose representatives $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in G$ of the cosets of H , and let $V = \text{Ind}(W) = \bigoplus \sigma_i W$:

given $\varphi: W \rightarrow \text{Res}(U)$ H -equivariant, if $\tilde{\varphi}: V \rightarrow U$ is G -equivariant

and $\tilde{\varphi}|_W = \varphi$, then necessarily we have a comm. diagram
$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & U \\ \sigma_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i W & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & U \end{array}$$

ie. $\tilde{\varphi}|_{\sigma_i W}$ is given by $\tilde{\varphi}(\sigma_i w) = \sigma_i \cdot \varphi(w)$

\uparrow
the action of $\sigma_i \in G$ on $\varphi(w) \in U$.

This determines $\tilde{\varphi}$ uniquely.

To check $\tilde{\varphi}$ is G -equivariant, recall $g \in G$ acts on V by mapping $\sigma_i W$ to $\sigma_j W$ s.t. $g\sigma_i = \sigma_j h \in \sigma_j H$, via $g(\sigma_i w) = \sigma_j \cdot h w$. Given $\sigma_i w \in \sigma_i W$,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(g(\sigma_i w)) = \tilde{\varphi}(\sigma_j \cdot h w) = \sigma_j \cdot \varphi(h w) = \sigma_j h \varphi(w) = g \sigma_i \varphi(w) = g(\tilde{\varphi}(\sigma_i w)).$$

\uparrow acting on U $\Rightarrow \tilde{\varphi} g = g \tilde{\varphi}$ on $\sigma_i W \forall i$, hence on V .

So: φ does have a unique G -equivariant extension $\tilde{\varphi}$.

Conversely, given $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_G(V, U)$, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is H -equivariant, and hence its restriction to $W \subset V$ is H -equivariant. \square

Comparing dimensions, $\dim \text{Hom}_G(\dots) = \dim \text{Hom}_H(\dots) \Rightarrow$

Corollary (Frobenius reciprocity): $\| \langle \chi_{\text{Ind } W}, \chi_U \rangle_G = \langle \chi_W, \chi_{\text{Res } U} \rangle_H.$

Thus: if U is an irred. rep. of G and W an irred. rep. of H , then the number of times W appears in $\text{Res}(U)$ is equal to the number of times U appears in $\text{Ind}(W)$.

Example: $G = S_4 \supset H = S_3$: restrictions of irred. reps of S_4 are

- trivial: $\text{Res}(U_4) = U_3$
- alternating: $\text{Res}(U'_4) = U'_3$
- standard: $\text{Res}(V_4) = V_3 \oplus U_3$
(since perm. rep. \mathbb{C}^4 restricts to perm. \oplus trivial: $\text{Res}(V_4 \oplus U_4) = V_3 \oplus U_3 \oplus U_3$).
- $V'_4 = V_4 \otimes U'_4$: $\text{Res}(V'_4) = V_3 \oplus U'_3$ (using $V_3 \otimes U'_3 \simeq V_3$).
- W (factors through $S_4 / \{(ij)(kl)\} \simeq S_3$): $\text{Res}(W) = V_3$.

(or instead of arguing explicitly, one can just use character tables!).

So by Frobenius reciprocity, $\text{Ind}(U_3) = \oplus$ of the irred. reps of S_4 whose restrictions contain U_3
 (this has $\dim 8 = 4 \cdot 2$) \uparrow $= V_4 \oplus V'_4 \oplus W$.

Another example. $H = \langle (1234) \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4 \subset G = S_4$.

the irred. reps of H are 1-dimensional, with (1234) acting by a power of i :
 $U_0 = \text{trivial}$, U_1, U_2, U_3 : (1234) acts by $i, i^2 = -1, i^3 = -i$.

To find the induced representations, look at irreps. of S_4 :

and the eigenvalues of (1234) & $(1234)^2 = (13)(24)$

- $U \mapsto U_0$
- $U' \mapsto U_2$
- $V \mapsto U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus U_3$
- $V' \mapsto U_3 \oplus U_0 \oplus U_1$
- $W \mapsto U_0 \oplus U_2$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \chi((13)(24)) = -1 \Rightarrow \lambda_i^2 = -1, -1, +1 \\ \chi(1234) = -1 \Rightarrow \lambda_i = i, -i, -1 \end{array} \right.$$

or: $U \oplus V$ has
 $(1234) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

	1 e	6 (12)	8 (123)	6 (1234)	3 (12)(34)
U	1	1	1	1	1
U'	1	-1	1	-1	1
V	3	1	0	-1	-1
V'	3	-1	0	1	-1
W	2	0	-1	0	2

eigenvalues $\pm 1, \pm i$, so $\text{Res}(U \oplus V) = U_0 \oplus \dots \oplus U_3$.

Frobenius reciprocity \Rightarrow

$\text{Ind}(U_0) = U \oplus V' \oplus W$ (= the permutation rep. of S_4 on G/H).

$\text{Ind}(U_1) = \text{Ind}(U_3) = V \oplus V'$

$\text{Ind}(U_2) = U' \oplus V \oplus W$ (= $U' \otimes \text{Ind}(U_0)$), consistent with $U_2 = \text{Res}(U')$.

* Some of the key motivation for studying induced representations comes from two deep theorems of Artin & Brauer ④

Thm (Artin) || Every character of a representation of G is a linear combination with rational coefficients of characters of representations induced from cyclic subgroups of G .

Thm (Brauer) || Every character of a representation of G is a linear combination with integer coefficients of characters of representations induced from "elementary" subgroups of G .

where elementary = isomorphic to a product $C \times H$, H p-group $|H| = p^k$
 C cyclic $\cong \mathbb{Z}/n$, $p \nmid n$.
 (won't prove. See eg. Serre's "Reps. of finite groups")

Real representations: we've studied actions of finite groups on complex vector spaces, now we want to do the same for real ones.

We have a map $\{\text{real rep's } V_0\} \rightarrow \{\text{complex rep's}\}$
 $V_0 \mapsto V = V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = V_0 \oplus iV_0$.

(G acts by $g(v+iw) = gv + igw$).

Def: || A complex rep. V of G is called real if there exists a rep. over \mathbb{R} , V_0 , st. $V = V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$

Necessary condition: χ_V must take real values! This is also not a sufficient cond.?

Ex: the quaternion group $Q = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$, $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$ acts on \mathbb{C}^2 by

$$\pm 1 \mapsto \pm \text{Id}, \quad \pm i \mapsto \pm \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pm j \mapsto \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pm k \mapsto \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\chi(\pm 1) = \pm 2$, all others have $\chi = 0$: so χ takes real values.

However this does not come from a 2-dimensional real representation: $Q \not\hookrightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

(This is because a real representation of a finite group has an invariant inner product, by

the same averaging trick as in the complex case, so we'd get $Q \hookrightarrow O(2)$, with

-1 acting by $-\text{Id}$, but only 2 elements of $O(2)$ square to $-\text{Id}$ (rotations by $\pm 90^\circ$)

while we need 6 such elements for $\pm i, \pm j, \pm k$.)

→ IF V_0 is a representation of G over \mathbb{R} , then it has an invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Extending, this yields a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $V = V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. We'll see:

Thm: || An irreducible complex representation V of a finite group G is real iff V carries a G -invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form.