

Recall: •  $V^{\otimes d}$  = vector space gen<sup>d</sup> by pure tensors  $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d$ ,  $v_i \in V$   
 tensor power with relations so that  $V \times \dots \times V \xrightarrow{\mu} V^{\otimes d}$  is multilinear  
 $(v_1, \dots, v_d) \mapsto v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d$   
 (+ multilinear maps  $V \times \dots \times V \xrightarrow{m} U \iff$  linear maps  $V^{\otimes d} \xrightarrow{\varphi} U$   
 $m = \varphi \circ \mu$ )

+  $\text{Sym}^d V$  same for symmetric multilinear maps.

Exterior algebra: do the same thing for skew-symmetric, aka alternating, multilinear forms.

Def:  $\eta \in V^{\otimes d}$  is alternating if  $\sigma(\eta) = (-1)^\sigma \eta \quad \forall \sigma \in S_d$ .  
 $\Lambda^d(V) = \{\text{alternating tensors}\} \subset V^{\otimes d}$ .  
 ↑ sign of  $\sigma$ : -1 for transpositions & products of odd # of them.

• In characteristic zero, we can view  $\Lambda^d(V)$  as the image of skew-symmetrization operator  $\beta: V^{\otimes d} \rightarrow V^{\otimes d}$

$$\beta(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d) = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} (-1)^\sigma v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(d)} \stackrel{\text{def/notation}}{=} v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d.$$

This is zero whenever  $v_i = v_j$  for some  $i \neq j$  and so by multilinearity, whenever  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  are linearly dependent. Thus  $\Lambda^d(V) = 0$  whenever  $d > \dim V$ !

• Alternative definitions  $\Lambda^d(V) =$  quotient of  $V^{\otimes d}$  by the subspace spanned by  $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d + v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_3 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d$  and similarly for other transpositions swapping two factors

Or:  $\Lambda^d(V)$  vector space with an alternating multilinear map  $V \times \dots \times V \rightarrow \Lambda^d V$   
 $(v_1, \dots, v_d) \mapsto v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d \quad (v_1 \wedge v_2 = -v_2 \wedge v_1 \text{ etc.})$

and universal for alternating multilinear maps on  $V \times \dots \times V$ .

- If  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  are a basis of  $V$  then  $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_d}$ ,  $i_1 < \dots < i_d$  basis of  $\Lambda^d V$ .
- We have a product  $\Lambda^k V \times \Lambda^l V \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+l} V$  induced by Tensor algebra + skew-symmetrization.  $(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) \wedge (w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_l) = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \wedge w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_l$ .

This makes the exterior algebra  $\Lambda^\bullet V = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \Lambda^d V$  into a (skew-commutative) ring

ie. if  $\eta \in \Lambda^k V$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda^l V$  then  $\eta \wedge \xi = (-1)^{kl} \xi \wedge \eta$ .

(check:  $\dim \Lambda^\bullet V = 2^{\dim V}$ ).

## Volume & determinant,

(2)

• If  $\dim V = n$ , then  $\dim \Lambda^n V = 1$  (if  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  basis of  $V \rightarrow e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n \in \Lambda^n V$ )

A choice of isomorphism  $\Lambda^n V \xrightarrow{\sim} k$  is determined by the data of a volume form  $\text{vol} \in \Lambda^n V^* = (\Lambda^n V)^*$ ,  $\text{vol} \neq 0$ , i.e. a nondegenerate

alternating multilinear map  $V \times \dots \times V \rightarrow k$   
 $v_1, \dots, v_n \mapsto \text{vol}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$

(Think of: signed volume of parallelepiped with edge vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is naturally  $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n \in \Lambda^n V$ , becomes a scalar given  $\Lambda^n V \xrightarrow{\sim} k$ ).

• Eg, in a real inner product space with orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ ,

the natural volume form is  $\text{vol} = e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*$ , so  $\text{vol}(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$ . (reordering basis gives  $\pm 1$ ... orientation!)

(Volume of unit cube is 1). Using basis to identify  $V \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(v_1, \dots, v_n) &= (e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*)(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma (e_{\sigma(1)}^* \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\sigma(n)}^*)(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ v_j &= \begin{pmatrix} v_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ v_{nj} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for each } j && = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma v_{\sigma(1)1} \dots v_{\sigma(n)n} = \det(v_1, \dots, v_n) \end{aligned}$$

the determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix!

Recall that the determinant of a matrix is  $\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod a_{\sigma(j)j}$ .

$\det(A)$  is the only quantity which is  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \text{ multilinear in the columns of the matrix} \\ \bullet \text{ alternating (swap two columns} \rightarrow -\det) \\ \bullet \det(\text{Id}) = 1. \end{array} \right.$

• Even though the notion of determinant / volume of  $n = \dim V$  vectors requires a choice of volume form (isom.  $\Lambda^n V \xrightarrow{\sim} k$ ) the notion of determinant of a linear operator requires no such choice!

→ Usual definition: given  $T: V \rightarrow V$ , define  $\det(T) = \det(A)$ ,  $A = \mathcal{M}(T)$  in any basis, using  $\det(AB) = \det A \det B$ , so under change of basis,  $\det(P^{-1}AP) = \det A$ .  
↳ usual proof is painfully explicit.

→ Better definition: exterior power is a functor, so  $T: V \rightarrow V$  induces a linear operator  $\Lambda^n T: \Lambda^n V \rightarrow \Lambda^n V$  (explicitly,  $(\Lambda^n T)(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = T(v_1) \wedge \dots \wedge T(v_n)$ ).

But  $\dim(\Lambda^n V) = 1$ , and any linear operator on a 1-dim. vector space is a scalar multiple of id.  $\Rightarrow$  define  $\det(T) \in k$  such that  $\Lambda^n T = \det(T) \text{id}$ .

(This expresses the fact that  $T$  scales volume of parallelepipeds in  $V$  by a factor of  $\det(T)$ , without having to choose  $\Lambda^n V \cong k$  to measure those volumes) ③

Using this definition of the determinant via  $\Lambda^n T$ , independence of choice of basis is manifest, and so is the fact that  $\det(T_1 T_2) = \det(T_1) \det(T_2)$ !

---

### Linear algebra over rings: modules (Artin §14.1-14.2)

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring (with  $1 \neq 0$ ) (ie. relax field axioms to not require multiplicative inverses). Plain examples  $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n, k[x], k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Def: A module  $M$  over a ring  $R$  is a set with two operations:

- $+$ :  $M \times M \rightarrow M$  addition, st.  $(M, +)$  is an abelian group.
- $\times$ :  $R \times M \rightarrow M$  scalar multiplication, st.  $(ab)v = a(bv)$ ,  
 $a(v+w) = av + aw$ ,  $(a+b)v = av + bv$ ,  $0v = 0$ ,  $1v = v$ .

Ex: •  $R^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in R\}$  with componentwise operations is the free module of rank  $n$  over  $R$ .

• any abelian group is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module ( $n \cdot g = \overbrace{g + \dots + g}^{n \text{ times}}$ ) - check details (homework)

Def:

- $\Gamma \subset M$  spans  $M$  (or generating set) if every element of  $M$  is a (finite) linear combination  $\sum a_i v_i$ ,  $v_i \in \Gamma$ ,  $a_i \in R$ .  
Equivalently: the map  $\varphi: R^\Gamma \rightarrow M$ ,  $(a_i) \mapsto \sum a_i v_i$  is surjective.  
 $M$  is finitely generated if it has a finite spanning set.
- the elements of  $\Gamma \subset M$  are (linearly) independent if  $\varphi: R^\Gamma \rightarrow M$  is injective, ie  $\sum a_i v_i = 0$ ,  $v_i \in \Gamma$ ,  $a_i \in R \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \forall i$
- the elements of  $\Gamma \subset M$  form a basis if  $\varphi: R^\Gamma \rightarrow M$  is an isomorphism.  
In this case, say  $M$  is a free module.

General fact about modules: nothing is true!

• A basis need not exist!

Ex:  $M = \mathbb{Z}/n$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module:  $nx = 0 \forall x \in M$  so  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^\Gamma \rightarrow M$  can't be injective!

• Even if  $M$  is free (admits a basis):

• a linearly independent set may not be a subset of a basis.

Ex:  $M = \mathbb{Z}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $\nexists$  basis  $\ni 2$ .

- a spanning set need not contain a subset which is a basis (4)
- Ex:  $M = \mathbb{Z}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $\{4, 5\}$  span  $\mathbb{Z}$  (since  $n = n \cdot 5 - n \cdot 4$ )  
but aren't independent ( $5 \cdot 4 - 4 \cdot 5 = 0$ ), & neither subset  $\{4\}$  or  $\{5\}$  spans all of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

- A submodule of a finitely generated module need not be finitely generated

Ex:  $R = k[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  polynomials in  $\infty$  many variables

$M = R$  as  $R$ -module is generated by the element 1.

$M' = \{ \text{polynomials whose constant term is zero} \} \subset M$  is a submodule, but not finitely gen<sup>d</sup> (any finite subset only involves finitely many  $x_i$ 's, can't span the other  $x_k$ 's).

(by contrast, this holds for modules over Noetherian rings, including  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and many others).

Def:  $\parallel$   $M, N$  modules over  $R$ , a module homomorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is a map  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  st.  $\varphi(v+w) = \varphi(v) + \varphi(w)$  and  $\varphi(av) = a\varphi(v)$ .

Observe:  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is itself an  $R$ -module:  $(\varphi + \psi)(v) = \varphi(v) + \psi(v)$   
 $(a\varphi)(v) = a\varphi(v)$ .

For free modules, things work as expected:  $\text{Hom}_R(R^m, R^n) \cong R^{m \times n}$

( $\varphi$  is determined by images  $\varphi(e_i) \in R^n$  of the basis vectors of  $R^m$ )

but we can have nonzero modules  $M, N$  st.  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = 0!$

Ex:  $R = k[x]$ ,  $M = k$  with multiplication  $(a_0 + a_1x + \dots) \cdot b = a_0b$ .

then  $\text{hom}_R(k, k[x]) = 0$  (because  $1 \in k$  satisfies  $x \cdot 1 = 0$

so must map to  $\varphi(1) = p(x) \in k[x]$  st.  $x p(x) = 0 \Rightarrow p = 0$ .)

Remarks: •  $R$  is a module over itself (Free module of rank 1)

A submodule of  $R$  is called an ideal: this is a subset  $N \subset R$  st.

- $N$  is an abelian subgroup of  $(R, +)$

- $R \cdot N \subseteq N$ : mult. by any element of  $R$  takes  $N$  to itself

Ex: Ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $n\mathbb{Z}$  } ie. generated by a single  
 $k[x]$  are  $p(x)k[x]$  } element. This is very special.

( $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $k[x]$  are "principal ideal domains". This has to do with Euclidean division algorithms:  $\text{span}(p, q) = \text{span}(\text{gcd}(p, q))$ .)

• The quotient of an  $R$ -module by a submodule is an  $R$ -module.

Ex:  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/n$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module

$k[x]/xk[x] = k$  as  $k[x]$ -module (example above).

(The quotient of  $R$  itself by a submodule = ideal is, in fact, not just an  $R$ -module but also a ring in its own right).

The study of modules is a vast subject, which we won't study further, with one exception: we're returning to group theory, but we start with a short account of the classification of finitely generated abelian groups (=  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules)

Theorem: || Any finitely generated abelian group is isom. to a product of cyclic groups

$$G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n_1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/n_k) \times \mathbb{Z}^l$$

(+ using  $\mathbb{Z}/mn \cong \mathbb{Z}/m \times \mathbb{Z}/n$  iff  $\gcd(m,n) = 1$ , can rearrange the finite factors eg. to arrange all  $n_i =$  powers of primes).