

Linear operators:

A linear operator on V (aka endomorphism of V) is a linear map $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$.

Notation: $\text{End}(V) = \text{Hom}(V, V)$.

- * When using a basis to express $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ by a (square) matrix, we want to use the same basis on each side: $A = \mathcal{M}(\varphi, (e_i), (e_i))$, transforms by $P^{-1}AP$.
- * Observe: \parallel if $\dim V < \infty$, $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ is injective \Leftrightarrow surjective \Leftrightarrow isom. $\Leftrightarrow \text{rank } \varphi = \dim V$.
(then say φ is invertible, $\varphi^{-1}: V \rightarrow V$ the inverse linear operator).
- * New thing: we can compose linear operators with each other $\varphi\psi = \varphi \circ \psi: V \rightarrow V$ or with themselves, $\varphi^n = \underbrace{\varphi \circ \dots \circ \varphi}_{n \text{ times}}$, or even apply polynomials:
 $P = \sum a_n x^n \rightarrow p(\varphi) = \sum a_n \varphi^n, V \rightarrow V$.

$\text{Hom}(V, V)$ is a (noncommutative) ring.

- * Given vector spaces V_1, V_2 and linear operators $\varphi_i: V_i \rightarrow V_i$, we can define

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2: V_1 \oplus V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_2 \quad \text{operator on } V = V_1 \oplus V_2.$$

The operator φ leaves the subspaces $V_1, V_2 \subset V$ invariant: $\varphi(V_i) \subset V_i$, and working in a basis of V st. $e_1, \dots, e_m \in V_1, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n \in V_2$, the matrix

of φ is block diagonal: $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \varphi_2 \end{array} \right)$. Conversely, if $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and

$\varphi(V_i) \subset V_i$ then φ is of this form.

More generally, if we only assume $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ and $V_1 \subset V$ is invariant ($\varphi(V_1) \subset V_1$) but not neces. V_2 , then the matrix of φ would be

block triangular: $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \varphi|_{V_1} & * \\ \hline 0 & * \end{array} \right)$

So: a typical way to study $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ is to look for invariant subspaces.

- * If $U \subset V$ is invariant and $\dim U = 1$ (so: $U = k \cdot v$ for some $v \in V$), then necessarily $\varphi(v) = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in k$.

Def: \parallel An eigenvector of $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ is a vector $v \in V, v \neq 0$, st. $\varphi(v) = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in k$. λ is called the eigenvalue corresponding to v .

* If we can find a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of φ , then we have diagonalized φ , i.e. found a basis where its matrix is diagonal (2)

$$\varphi(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i \quad \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

This is the best outcome, but not always possible!

Ex: $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ has eigenvectors $(1, 0)$ (or any multiples) with eigenvalue λ , $(0, 1)$ with eigenvalue μ .

However $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ has only one eigenvector $(1, 0)$ with eigenvalue 1, (up to scaling!) NOT diagonalizable.

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ doesn't even have any eigenvectors (see HW4 Problem 1).

Prop: Eigenvectors of $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ with distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent.

Pf: Assume v_1, \dots, v_ℓ are eigenvectors with $\varphi(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i$, λ_i all distinct.

Assume there is a linear relation $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_\ell v_\ell = 0$ with a_i not all zero, and this has the fewest (≥ 2) possible nonzero a_i of any such relation.

Then $\varphi(\sum a_i v_i) = \sum a_i \varphi(v_i) = \sum a_i \lambda_i v_i = 0$ another linear relation!
 $a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_\ell \lambda_\ell v_\ell = 0$.

Fix i st. $a_i \neq 0$, and subtract:

$$a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)v_1 + \dots + a_\ell(\lambda_\ell - \lambda_i)v_\ell = 0$$

\rightarrow linear relation where coefficient of v_i is now zero, but all other nonzero coefficients (at least one) remain nonzero (since $\lambda_j - \lambda_i \neq 0$).

Contradicts minimality assumption. □

Corollary: The number of distinct eigenvalues of $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ is at most $n = \dim V$, and if equality holds then φ is diagonalizable.

Digression: Def: A field k is algebraically closed if every nonconstant polynomial $p \in k[x]$ has a root in k , i.e. $\exists \alpha \in k$ st. $p(\alpha) = 0$.

If so, then by division algorithm for polynomials, can write $p = (x - \alpha)q$, and repeating, we get $p = c(x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_d)$. ($d = \deg p$, $\alpha_i \in k$).

* Fundamental theorem of algebra: \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed.

(proof is not pure algebra; we'll discuss it in Math 556).

* IF k is not algebraically closed then there exists an alg. closed field $\bar{k} \supset k$,
 constructed from k by adjoining roots of polynomials $\in k[x]$. (3)

Eg. $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{C}$, whereas $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \{\text{all roots of polynomial eqns with } \mathbb{Q}\text{-coeffts}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$
 (fact: polynomials in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$ have roots in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$)

Lemma: IF k is algebraically closed, V a finite dimensional vector space over k ,
 then any linear operator $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ has an eigenvector, ie. $\exists v \in V - \{0\}$,
 $\exists \lambda \in k$ st. $\varphi(v) = \lambda v$.

Proof: Let $n = \dim V$, and take any nonzero vector $v \in V$. Then $\underbrace{v, \varphi(v), \dots, \varphi^n(v)}_{n+1 \text{ vectors}}$
 must be linearly dependent.

So $\exists a_0, \dots, a_n \in k$ (not all zero) st. $a_0 v + a_1 \varphi(v) + \dots + a_n \varphi^n(v) = 0$.

Since k is algebraically closed, we can factor the polynomial $\sum a_i x^i$,

hence $a_0 + a_1 \varphi + \dots + a_n \varphi^n = c(\varphi - \lambda_1) \dots (\varphi - \lambda_d)$, $c \neq 0$, $\lambda_i \in k$.

(!! the product here is composition of operators but this is legit !!)

Now, $(\varphi - \lambda_1) \dots (\varphi - \lambda_d): V \rightarrow V$ has a nontrivial kernel ($\ni v$),
 which implies that at least one of $\varphi - \lambda_i$ is not an isomorphism,

hence $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $w \in V - \{0\}$ st. $w \in \ker(\varphi - \lambda_i)$, ie. $\varphi(w) = \lambda_i w$. □

Corollary: Given $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ over an algebraically closed field k , there exists a basis
 (v_1, \dots, v_n) of V in which the matrix of φ is upper-triangular. $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$
 (ie. each subspace $V_k = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k) \subset V$ is invariant)

Proof: Induction on $\dim V$: If $\dim V = 1$, then any nonzero vector v_1
 gets mapped to a multiple of itself v . (any 1×1 matrix is triangular)

- Assume result true for $\dim \leq n-1$, and consider $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ with $\dim V = n$.
 By lemma, φ has at least one eigenvalue $\lambda \in k$. Let $U = \text{Im}(\varphi - \lambda)$.
 Since $\varphi - \lambda$ has nontrivial kernel (= eigenvectors for λ), $\dim U < \dim V$.

Moreover, we claim U is an invariant subspace for φ .

Indeed: if $u = (\varphi - \lambda)v \in \text{Im}(\varphi - \lambda) = U$, then

$$\varphi(u) = \varphi(\varphi - \lambda)v = (\varphi - \lambda)\varphi(v) \in \text{Im}(\varphi - \lambda) = U.$$

Now, by induction, $\varphi|_U \in \text{Hom}(U, U) \rightarrow \exists$ basis u_1, \dots, u_m of U
 in which $\varphi|_U$ is upper-triangular. ($\varphi(u_i) \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_i)$)

Complete to a basis $(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ of V . Then:

- $\varphi(u_i) \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_i) \checkmark$
- $\varphi(v_i) = \underbrace{(\varphi - \lambda)}_{\in U} v_i + \lambda v_i \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_i) \checkmark$

$$\Rightarrow M(\varphi) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} U & * \\ \hline 0 & \begin{matrix} \lambda & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda \end{matrix} \end{array} \right)$$

* Remark: there's another proof that is easier to discover but harder to follow: again by induction, but now start from $V_0 = k \cdot v_0$ where v_0 is an eigenvector of φ , and let $U = V/V_0$, $q: V \rightarrow U$ quotient.

Using $\varphi(V_0) \subset V_0$, $\exists \bar{\varphi}: U \rightarrow U$ st.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & V \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ U & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & U \end{array} \text{ commutes}$$

(because: $(q \circ \varphi)|_{V_0} = 0$ so $q \circ \varphi: V \rightarrow U$ factors through $V/V_0 = U$).

By induction hypothesis, \exists basis u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} of U st. $\bar{\varphi}(u_i) \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_i)$.

Let $v_i \in V$ such that $q(v_i) = u_i$. Then $q(\varphi(v_i)) \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_i)$

(Note: (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}) basis of V). $\Rightarrow \varphi(v_i) \in \text{span}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_i)$. \square

$$M(\varphi) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} v_0 & * \\ \hline 0 & M(\bar{\varphi}) \\ \vdots & \\ 0 & \end{array} \right)$$

Now suppose we have $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ and a basis (v_1, \dots, v_n) of V st $M(\varphi) = A$ is upper-triangular, i.e. each $V_i = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_i)$ is an invariant subspace of φ . Denote by $\lambda_i = a_{ii}$ the diagonal entries of A .

Lemma: $\parallel \varphi$ is invertible iff all the diagonal entries of A are nonzero.

Pf: • if all λ_i are nonzero then φ is surjective (hence isom.) since

$$\varphi(v_1) = \lambda_1 v_1, \lambda_1 \neq 0 \text{ so } v_1 \in \text{Im } \varphi$$

$$\varphi(v_2) = \lambda_2 v_2 + a_{12} v_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0 \text{ so } v_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} (\varphi(v_2) - a_{12} v_1) \in \text{Im } \varphi$$

$$\text{etc. } \Rightarrow v_i \in \text{Im } \varphi \forall i.$$

• if $\lambda_i = 0$ then $\varphi(V_i) \subset V_{i-1}$ so $\varphi|_{V_i}$ has nontrivial kernel (since $\text{rk } \varphi|_{V_i} \leq \dim V_{i-1} < \dim V_i$), hence $\ker \varphi \neq 0$, not invertible. \square

Corollary: \parallel The following are equivalent:

(1) λ is an eigenvalue of φ

(2) $\varphi - \lambda$ is not invertible

(3) $\lambda = \lambda_i$ for some diagonal entry of the upper-triangular matrix A representing φ .

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) since eigenvectors = $\ker(\varphi - \lambda)$, and (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) by applying the lemma to $\varphi - \lambda$ and matrix $A - \lambda I$.