

Last time: Every element of  $S_n$  can be expressed as product of a unique collection of disjoint cycles. Conjugacy classes in  $S_n$  correspond to partitions of  $n$ , i.e. ways to express  $n$  as a sum of positive integers (= lengths of the cycles).

$$p(n) = \# \text{partitions of } n = \# \{ a_1, \dots, a_k \mid a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k, \sum a_i = n \}$$

Or, let  $m_j = \# \{ i \mid a_i = j \}$  number of times  $j$  appears in the partition,

$$\text{then } p(n) = \# \{ (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum j m_j = n \}$$

There is no closed formula for  $p(n)$ ; it grows faster than any polynomial.

$$\text{Hardy-Ramanujan 1918: } p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} \exp\left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}\right) \quad (\text{This looks hard; it is.})$$

However there are recursive formulas, and also a nice expression for the generating series  $f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)t^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-t^j}$ . (So: coefft of  $t^n$  in this product is  $p(n)$ !)

This is because  $\frac{1}{1-t^j} = 1 + t^j + t^{2j} + \dots$  so coefft of  $t^n$  in the product is

#ways of writing  $n$  as sum of multiples of  $j$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots$  i.e.  $n = m_1 + 2m_2 + 3m_3 + \dots$

\* What is the size of the conjugacy class in  $S_n$  corresponding to a given partition  $n = \sum j m_j$  (i.e.  $m_1$  fixed elements,  $m_2$  2-cycles,  $m_3$  3-cycles, ...)?

Answer: First need to partition  $\{1..n\}$  into  $m_1$  subsets of size 1,  $m_2$  of size 2, etc.:

there are  $\frac{n!}{(1!)^{m_1} (2!)^{m_2} \dots}$  ways ( $S_n$  acts transitively on the set of such decompositions, with stabilizer subgroup  $\prod_{j \geq 1} (S_j)^{m_j}$  = permutations which permute only within each subset)

But in fact we don't care about ordering of the various subsets of given size. This divides by  $m_j!$  for each  $j$  (permute the  $m_j$  subsets of size  $j$ ).

so we get  $\frac{n!}{\prod_{j \geq 1} (j!)^{m_j} m_j!}$  partitions of  $\{1..n\}$  into unordered collection of subsets of the correct sizes.

Now, in  $S_j$  there are  $(j-1)!$   $j$ -cycles ( $1 \rightarrow ? \rightarrow ? \rightarrow \dots$ ).  
 $j-1$  choices  $j-2$  choices

so in total  $\prod ((j-1)!)^{m_j}$  ways of choosing the cycles acting on each subset.

Hence:  $|C| = \frac{n!}{\prod_{j \geq 1} (j^{m_j} \cdot m_j!)}$  (If you like combinatorics: can you check by direct calculation that these do add up to  $n! = |S_n|$ ?)

Let's now return to the alternating group  $A_n = \text{Ker}(\text{sgn}: S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\})$  ②

\* Observe: a  $k$ -cycle has sign  $(-1)^{k-1}$ . (since  $(i_1 \dots i_k) = (i_1 i_2)(i_2 i_3) \dots (i_{k-1} i_k)$ ).

So  $\sigma \in A_n$  iff its cycle decomposition has an even number of cycles of even length.

\* Prop: If  $C \subset S_n$  is a conjugacy class then either  $C \cap A_n = \emptyset$ , or  $C \subset A_n$ .

In the latter case, either  $C$  is a conjugacy class in  $A_n$ , or it splits into 2 conjugacy classes in  $A_n$ .

$C$  is a single conjugacy class in  $A_n$  iff, given  $\sigma \in C$ , there exists an odd permutation  $\tau$  that commutes with  $\sigma$ .

Proof: • all elements of  $C$  have same cycle lengths  $\Rightarrow$  same sign. So  $C \subset A_n$  or  $C \cap A_n = \emptyset$ .

(or:  $A_n$  is a normal subgroup of  $S_n$  hence a union of conjugacy classes).

• assume  $C_\sigma = \{g\sigma g^{-1} \mid g \in S_n\} \subset A_n$ , then split  $S_n$  into the 2 (right) cosets of  $A_n$ ,  $S_n = A_n \cup A_n \cdot \tau$  for any  $\tau$  with  $\text{sgn}(\tau) = -1$ . Then

$$C_\sigma = \{h\sigma h^{-1} \mid h \in A_n\} \cup \{h\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}h^{-1} \mid h\tau \in A_n\tau\} \\ = (\text{conj. class of } \sigma \text{ in } A_n) \cup (\text{conj. class of } \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \text{ in } A_n)$$

These 2 conj. classes are either equal or disjoint; they are equal iff  $\sigma$  is in the latter conj. class, i.e.  $\exists g = h\tau$  (odd) st.  $g\sigma g^{-1} = \sigma$ , i.e.  $g\sigma = \sigma g$ .  $\square$

In other terms:  $\sigma \in C$ ,  $Z(\sigma) = \{\tau \in S_n \mid \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma\}$  centralizer,

If  $Z(\sigma) \subset A_n$  then conjugates of  $\sigma$  by odd permutations are different from conjugates by even permutations, form two conj. classes in  $A_n$ ; if  $Z(\sigma) \not\subset A_n$  then all conjugates of  $\sigma$  in  $S_n$  are conjugate by elements of  $A_n$ .

Ex:  $n=5$ :  $A_5 = \{\text{id}\} \cup \{(ij)(kl)\} \cup \{3\text{-cycles}\} \cup \{5\text{-cycles}\}$ .

3-cycles still form a single conjugacy class in  $A_5$ ; also for  $(ij)(kl)$ 's  
(because  $(45) \in Z((123))$  and  $(ij) \in Z((ij)(kl))$ )

but 5-cycles split into 2 conjugacy classes in  $A_5$ .

So the class equation of  $A_5$  is  $60 = 1 + 15 + 20 + 12 + 12$ .

More generally,

Prop: For  $\sigma \in A_n$ ,  $C_\sigma = \{g\sigma g^{-1} \mid g \in S_n\}$  splits into 2 conj. classes in  $A_n$  iff the cycle lengths of  $\sigma$  are all odd and distinct.

- Pf:  $\sigma$  commutes with the cycles in its own cycle decomposition. So any even length cycle in  $\sigma$  gives an odd permutation in  $Z(\sigma) \Rightarrow C_\sigma$  not split. ③
- if two odd cycles  $(a_1 \dots a_k)$  and  $(b_1 \dots b_k)$  of the same length occur in the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma$ , then  $(a_1 b_1)(a_2 b_2) \dots (a_k b_k) \in Z(\sigma)$  odd. (This includes the case  $k=1$ ! can't have 2 fixed points).
  - if cycle lengths are all distinct, then an element of  $Z(\sigma)$  must preserve each of the corresponding subsets of  $\{1 \dots n\}$ ; now, on a  $j$ -element subset:  $Z((1 2 \dots j)) = \{\text{cyclic subgroup of } S_j \text{ gen'd by } (1 2 \dots j)\} \subset A_j$ .
- So  $Z(\sigma) \subset A_n$ . □

Now: the class equation of  $A_5$  is  $60 = 1 + 15 + 20 + 12 + 12$ .

Can now look for normal subgroups of  $A_5$ . Can't reach a divisor of 60 in any non-trivial way as a union of conj classes including  $\{\text{id}\}$ , except by taking all. Hence:

Prop:  $A_5$  is simple, i.e. its only normal subgroups are  $\{\text{id}\}$  and itself.

Theorem:  $A_n$  is simple  $\forall n \geq 5$ .

$A_5$  just seen;  $A_6$  similar argument using class equation (on HW 9)! However the result is false for  $A_4$  ( $\{\text{id}\} \cup \{(ij)(kl)\} \subset A_4$  is normal). The general case relies on:

Lemma:  $A_n$  is generated by 3-cycles.

Pf: Induction on  $n$ : This is true for  $A_3 = \{\text{id}, 3\text{-cycles}\} \subset S_3$ .

Now assume  $A_{n-1}$  is generated by 3-cycles. Let  $\sigma \in A_n$ : if  $\sigma(n) = n$  then it belongs to a subgroup  $\{\tau \in A_n \mid \tau(n) = n\} \cong A_{n-1}$  so it's a product of 3-cycles by induction hypothesis. Else: let  $i = \sigma(n)$  and  $j = \text{any element distinct from } i \text{ and } n$ , then  $\tau = (j i n) \sigma \in A_n$  and  $\tau(n) = n$ , so by induction hyp.  $\tau = \prod (3\text{-cycles})$ , and so is  $\sigma = (i j n) \tau$ . □

\* Moreover: for  $n \geq 5$ , 3-cycles form a single conjugacy class in  $A_n$ , since  $(j_1 j_2 j_3)$  and  $(k_1 k_2 k_3)$  are conjugate by any permutation  $j_i \mapsto k_i$ , & some of these  $\in A_n$ . So: to prove that a normal subgroup  $H \subset A_n$ ,  $H \neq \{e\}$  is all of  $A_n$ , it suffices to show that it contains a 3-cycle.

Proof of theorem: Let  $H \subset A_n$ ,  $H \neq \{e\}$  normal subgroup. As just noted, it's enough to show that it contains a 3-cycle. (Hence all 3-cycles by conjugation, hence  $H = A_n$ )

• Let  $\sigma \in H$ ,  $\sigma \neq e$ . Replacing  $\sigma$  by some power of  $\sigma$ , we can assume that it has prime order: let  $m = \text{order}(\sigma)$ ,  $p$  prime  $|m$ , then  $\sigma^{m/p} \in H$  has order  $p$ .

Since the order of  $\sigma$  is the l.c.m. of its cycle lengths, this implies  $\sigma$  is a product of disjoint  $p$ -cycles. We now look at cases depending on  $p$ :

1) If  $p \geq 5$ :  $\sigma = (i_1 \dots i_p) \tau$ ,  $\tau$  fixes  $i_1 \dots i_p$  and permutes the remaining elements.

Then let  $g = (i_2 i_3 i_4)$ , then  $H$  normal  $\Rightarrow g \sigma g^{-1}$  and  $g \sigma g^{-1} \sigma^{-1} \in H$ .

$$g \sigma g^{-1} \sigma^{-1} = (i_2 i_3 i_4) \circ [(i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5 \dots i_p) \tau] \circ (i_2 i_3 i_4) \circ [\tau^{-1}(i_p \dots i_5 i_4 i_3 i_2 i_1)]$$

$$\text{takes } i_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} i_p \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} i_p \xrightarrow{\sigma} i_1 \xrightarrow{g} i_2 = (i_2 i_4 i_5)$$

$$i_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma} i_1 \xrightarrow{g} i_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} i_2 \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} i_4$$

$$i_3 \xrightarrow{\sigma} i_2 \xrightarrow{g} i_3 \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} i_4 \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} i_3$$

$$i_4 \xrightarrow{\sigma} i_3 \xrightarrow{g} i_4 \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} i_5 \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} i_5$$

$$i_5 \xrightarrow{\sigma} i_4 \xrightarrow{g} i_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} i_3 \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} i_2$$

$\Rightarrow H$  contains a 3-cycle.

2)  $p=3$ : if  $\sigma$  is a 3-cycle we're done. Else product of at least two disjoint 3-cycles: write  $\sigma = (i_1 i_2 i_3)(i_4 i_5 i_6) \tau$ , let  $g = (i_4 i_3 i_2)$ .

we find  $g \sigma g^{-1} \sigma^{-1} = (i_1 i_5 i_2 i_4 i_3)$  is a 5-cycle  $\in H$ , this reduces to the previous case.  $\checkmark$

3)  $p=2$ , and  $\sigma$  is a product of only 2 transpositions (a single  $(ij) \notin A_n$ !).

$$\sigma = (i_1 i_2)(i_3 i_4); \text{ let } i_5 \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_4\} \text{ and } g = (i_5 i_3 i_1).$$

Then  $g \sigma g^{-1} \sigma^{-1} = (i_1 i_5 i_2 i_4 i_3) \in H$ , back to first case.

4)  $p=2$  and  $\sigma$  is a product of at least 3 transpositions (in fact  $\geq 4$ ):

$$\sigma = (i_1 i_2)(i_3 i_4)(i_5 i_6) \tau. \text{ Again let } g = (i_5 i_3 i_1), \text{ then}$$

$$g \sigma g^{-1} \sigma^{-1} = (i_1 i_5 i_3)(i_2 i_4 i_6) \in H \text{ has order 3, reduces to case 2. } \square$$

Our next topic, still very much related to understanding finite groups, is the Sylow Theorems.

If  $|G|=n$ , and  $k|n$ , then in general there is no reason for  $G$  to contain an element of order  $k$ , or even a subgroup of order  $k$ . - the "converse to Lagrange's thm" fails.

EX:  $A_4$  (resp.  $A_5$ ) has no subgroup of order 6 (resp. 30) - such a subgroup would be normal.

The first Sylow thm says: if  $|G|=p^l m$ ,  $p$  prime,  $p \nmid m$ , then there exist subgroups of order  $p^l$ .